

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,042	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants: (1) Fiona T. Powers.
(2) Luke Kilyk.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE
Claims discussed:
22
Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Kilyk to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 22 were amended by inserting after "receptors" -to treat glaucoma or to control normal or elevated intraocular pressure-. The amendment is necessary to avoid a rejection of the claim under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph. It was agreed that claim 22 would be amended as discussed supra by examiner's amendment..